

## REMARKS

This Response to Office Action is filed in response to the Office Action dated April 14, 2004. Claim 3 has been amended, Claims 4 to 6 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, and Claim 9 through 13 have been added. No new matter has been added by these amendments. An Information Disclosure Statement and Petition for a Two-Month Extension of Time are submitted herewith. A check in the amount of \$180 to cover the Information Disclosure Statement is submitted herewith. A check in the amount of \$296.00 to cover the cost of the extension and the new claims is submitted herewith. Please charge deposit account 02-1818 for any insufficiency or credit any overpayment.

The Office Action rejected Claims 4 through 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,582,599. Applicants do not agree with this rejection. Nevertheless, for further prosecution Applicants have cancelled Claims 4 to 6. Additionally, as discussed during the interview on April 9, 2004, Applicants have amended Claim 3 to include a container for holding the system.

The Office Action provisionally rejected Claims 1 through 8 under a judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,640,976. Accordingly, Applicants are submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this rejection. A check in the amount of \$55.00 is enclosed to cover the cost of the Terminal Disclaimer.

An earnest endeavor has been made to place this application in condition for formal allowance and in the absence of more pertinent art such action is courteously solicited. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this Response, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY: Adam H. Masia

Adam H. Masia

Reg. No. 35,602

P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

Phone: (312) 807-4284

Dated: September 7, 2004